Executive Summary

➢ The study indicates an overall expenditure of Rs 1397.354 lakhs against financial target of Rs. 1951.11 lakhs under District level activities which works out to 72%.

➢ An amount of Rs 514.287 lakhs earmarked to all ATMA districts in Belagavi and Mysuru RD for utilizing the same for implementation of farmers orientated activities of which Rs 386.875 lakhs utilized, which works out to 75%.

➢ The SREP’S’s have been prepared originally during 2005-06 to 2007-08 in all 15 districts. These SREP’S’s should have been revisited after every five years considering research activities of different departments at field level. But this has been attended.

➢ The social mobilization of farmer’s by way of formation for skill development of FIGs, CIGs and FSGs have been implemented in all the districts. Further the progress under food security groups is an average ranging from 41% and 44% in case of Belagavi & Mysuru RD’s respectively

➢ The overall expenditure under Farm information dissemination is Rs 79.332 lakhs against target of Rs 150.50 lakhs which works out to 53%.

➢ Under agriculture Technology Refinement, Validation & Adoption, as against a target of Rs. 39.60 lakhs, an amount of Rs 29.71 lakhs has been spent which amounts to 75% and this needs to be strengthened in future days in order to achieve 100%

➢ Out of Rs.141.72 lakhs allocated for other innovative activities, an amount of Rs 86.771 lakhs only have been spent which works out to be 61%. Indeed this activity should be further strengthened in future years in order to reach 100%

➢ Out of the total expenditure of Rs 1397.354 lakhs incurred during the year 2017-18, an amount of Rs 814.666 lakhs was towards administrative expenditure which works out to 58.30% as against 28% specified in the guidelines.

➢ Meetings of ATMA GB in the districts under the chairmanship of Chief Executive Officer, against four meetings to be conducted in a year, one to two meetings only have been conducted.

➢ Similarly against 4 meetings of DFAC, one or two meetings during 2017-18 have been conducted, Representation to women farmers to the extent of 10-30% provided in the districts of Mysuru RD, where as in Belagavi RD it is 5 – 20%
➢ As many as 129 success stories have been documented in all most all the districts of Mysuru & Belagavi RD and were published locally

➢ Comparatively good progress has been achieved in respect of farmers field schools in both the RD’s. Where Belagavi RD recorded 78% progress as compared to Mysuru RD (45%). It is worth to mention that both RD’s have out performed compared to previous years.

➢ Rewards / incentives to best organised farmer groups and farmer awards: Of the two components, the progress achieved under farmers award could be graded as good / satisfactory, while the progress under incentives/ rewards in both the RD’s is below average

➢ Convergence and participation of Agriculture, allied sectors in majority of districts was noticed during 2017-18 compared to previous years.

➢ Almost all training programmes have been designed based on cafeteria of activities and based on regional / local requirement

➢ The concept of farm school / demonstration programme on specific activity of the technology has been well understood and adopted by the community

➢ Women farmers benefitted to the extent of 27% and 25% in case of Belagavi and Mysuru RD respectively as against 30% specified. Further it is note worthy to note that women farmers do not show interest in long travel / duration programmes of training / exposure visits, due to residential/ domestic issues like milking cows.

➢ In total 83,958 farmers have been benefitted under the scheme out of which women beneficiaries to the tune 26%.

➢ In both Revenue Divisions the project staff have taken adequate care / measures to carryout / adopt new crops / new farm activities, new and sustainable technologies. Which have documented district wise and presented in the table.

➢ State Extension Work Plane was prepared based on bottom-up planning, how ever need to be reviewed/relooked at all levels of implementation

➢ Inadequate participation of scientists of SAU was observed. Inter Departmental Working Group (IDWG) meeting are inadequate and need more attention.