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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Remarks / Comments</th>
<th>Action Taken by the Department</th>
<th>Recommendation of the Study</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>General Recommendations: Implement Staff, Policy Decision/ Partially Implemented/ Non Implemented.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECO: Hyderabad Karnataka Centre for Advanced Learning (HCKL).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department: Forest Department [AR Date: 18-09-2017]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Karnataka</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Recommendation**:

- Long Term Recommendations:
  - Implementation of JFM is the lack of a clear policy framework.
  - Imbalance between the policy and implementation of JFM led to the failure of the program.

- Short Term Recommendations:
  - Based on the inputs from the study, it is recommended that:
    - More efforts need to be made for the following recommendations to be implemented:
    - Recommendations for different stakeholders of JFM interventions.
handle different aspects of the JFPM program. It is suggested that there be a designated officer in the cadre of APCCF (JFPM) with a dedicated grant to monitor JFPM activities. Since the Karnataka Forest Act (section 31A) has already made a provision for constitution and functioning of VFCs to carry on JFPM activities the need of the hour is only to strengthen the program.

At this point of time many VFCs have to depend on grant schemes that are not specifically JFPM centric and hence the continuation of a particular activity depends on the availability of funds that could be diverted to JFPM related activities. It is thus suggested that a designated grant for carrying on VFC activities may be set apart in KFD itself so that dependence of VFCs on scheme grants or other funds would not act as a block for carrying on their regular activities.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>It is naïve to expect that VFCs shall be continuously getting grants from the Government. Grants by the Government should be at best one time affair.</td>
<td>If JFPM has to succeed, enough resources need to be generated by the VFCs. JFPM relying consistently on grants or other financial help from the external agency including government is not sustainable.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If JFPM has to succeed, a continuous flow of economic activities must take place in VFCs. Provision for designated grants must be made in the JFPM policy itself. To keep the VFC in an activity mode the Private-Public-Partnership
### Recommendation

**Not Practicable**

- The current model of the government does not adequately cover all rural areas.
- The department is focused on other economic activities, leading to decreased dependence on VFDCs.
- Damage and loss from wildlife accidents are not sufficiently addressed.

| Partially Implemented |

- The fund allocation for VFDCs is not sufficient for the proposed initiatives. The LFCs should consider the following:
  - Providing a provision for the fund distribution in the budget.
  - Allocating funds specifically for the VFDC initiatives.
  - Engaging with stakeholders to discuss the implications and potential solutions.
Abstract

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study Name: Evaluation of Impact of the Joint Forest Planning and Management (JFPM) program in Karnataka</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>To be filled up by the Department</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Implemented</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partially Implemented</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Implemented</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Being Implemented</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Policy Decisions</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General / Unwarranted / Not Practicable Recommendations</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total No. Of Recommendations</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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