

ANNEXURE 3 OF OUTPUT GRADING MANUALFile No. KEA 36 EVN 2012 (P)GRADING OF EVALUATION REPORT

| Name of the study: Evaluation of Performance of the Mysore Sugar Company Limited. | | | |
|--|---|---------------|----------------|
| Date of receipt of draft evaluation report: 10th April 2015 | | | |
| Date of acceptance of final report: 13th June 2015 | | | |
| Sl No. | Sections | Maximum Marks | Marks Assigned |
| 1 | Executive Summary | 10 | 8 |
| 2 | Introduction & objectives | 10 | 8 |
| 3 | Methodology and area of study | 10 | 9 |
| 4 | Hypothesis and limitations of the study | 10 | NA |
| 5 | Review of the literature | 10 | NA |
| 6 | Analysis and discussion | 10 | 8 |
| 7 | Conclusions and recommendations | 10 | 7 |
| 8 | Importance of the study and limitations | 10 | 8 |
| 9 | Overall presentation | 10 | 9 |
| 10 | Relevance for policy implications | 10 | 8 |
| Total | | 100 | 66 |

Total Marks in figures: Sixty six out of eighty
 Total Marks in words: $66/80 = 82.5\%$

note: The Report is graded for eight sections out of Ten. Section number four & five is not relevant for the study. Hence, the score of sixty six out of eighty (66/80) is proportionately calculated for 100 marks. The proportionate marks for 100 marks out to 82.5%. (Eighty two & a half percent)

(Signature of Assessor)



B.D. Karanth

