

Terms of Reference for the Internal Evaluation Study of the Scheme of Financial Assistance For Self Employment to Nomadic & Semi Nomadic Tribes implemented in the Period 2009-10 to 2013-14 by Backward Class Development Corporation Ltd.

1. Study Title

The title of the “Study of the Scheme of Financial Assistance for self employment to Nomadic & Semi-Nomadic Tribes implemented in the period 2009-10 to 2013-14 by Backward Class Development Corporation Ltd”.

2. Background Information

The nomadic and semi nomadic tribes belonging to backward class habitually migrate from one place to another for their livelihood. Backward Classes Development Corporation Ltd is implementing the scheme of self employment for these nomadic and semi nomadic tribes. The objective of the scheme is to help these tribes to stay in one place and earn their livelihood by providing loans and other facilities to the members of these tribes. The scheme is implemented in accordance with the G.O.no. SWD 180 BMS 2006 dated: 20.05.2006. Under this scheme, financial assistance of Rs.15000 (Rs 5000 of it is subsidy) is provided at 4% interest on the loan amount and is the assistance given to backward classes belonging to nomadic and semi nomadic tribes classified under category-1 the list of these backward class is given in annexure-1 of the ToR.

This scheme is implemented since 2006-07. The year wise financial and physical progress is given in the table below-

(Financial in Rs.Lakhs)

Sl.No	Year	Achievement	
		Physical	Financial
1	2009-10	1909	286.35
2	2010-11	750	111.75
3	2011-12		
4	2012-13	5487	1415.35
5	2013-14	2449	721.12

1) Objectives of the Scheme

- (a) To prevent the nomadic and semi nomadic tribes to move from one place to another place.
- (b) To provide financial assistance at cheap interest rates and facilitate them to take up economic activity under the skill which they have developed.
- (c) To assist them financially and make them self employed.

4 Objectives of the Study

The Objectives of the Study are -

- a) To evaluate the impact of the scheme on economic status of the nomadic and semi- nomadic tribes.
- b) To evaluate the extent to which the objectives of the scheme have been achieved since 2009-10 till 2013-14 (study period).
- c) To identify the constraints in implementation and to suggest measures for improvement of existing scheme
- d) Bottle necks experienced by beneficiaries in banking transactions.
- e) FGDs to be conducted with the stake holders at the district levels and their views compiled and reported.

5 Implementation Process

District wise action plans are prepared based on the amounts released by Backward Class department and targets are fixed. The District Managers invite applications as per the targets fixed, and scrutinize them for eligibility. These applications are placed for approval before the constituency level selection committees headed by the MLA of the constituency. The District Manager is the Member Secretary of the Committee. This Committee, while scrutinizing applications also examines the feasibility of the activities under which the loans are applied, and selects beneficiaries and decides the amount of loan accordingly. The loan proposals, after approval of the Committee, are sent to Head Office for release of funds. The proposals sent by District Managers are again verified and subsidy/loan sanction orders are issued and amounts is released to District Managers. The District managers get the necessary security documents signed from the beneficiaries for the sanctioned loans. Before issue of cheques to the beneficiaries, pre-disbursement counseling is held (PDC) with the beneficiaries on the purpose and proper usage of loan and subsidy amount, and then cheques are handed over.

6 Monitoring Mechanism

The financial and physical progress of the scheme is reviewed every month regularly by the MD, D.Devaraj Urs Development Corporation Ltd at the state level with all the District Managers of the corporation. Further, secretary to government backward classes department will also review the progress of the corporation every month along with progress of other schemes of the administrative department in the MPIC meeting.

7 Conditions to be fulfilled

1. The applicant should belong to nomadic tribe of backward classes as stipulated in G.O. No. PHS 262 SEW 65, Dated: 01.02.1966.
2. It should be confirmed that the beneficiary has established working unit after availing subsidy/loan from the Corporation.

3. It should be verified and reported by the concerned officer that the person has undergone training under EDP and has enhanced the knowledge of the activity undertaken by him.
4. To review and report about ongoing economic activity undertaken by the beneficiary.
5. It should be verified that the nomadic tribe is residing in a permanent place and self employed after getting financial assistance for self employment from the Corporation.

7. Evaluation Questions

- 1) Which and how many are the nomadic and semi-nomadic (NSM) tribes as per the Government Order No. PHS 262 SEW 65 dated: 01.02.1966? Of these, how many NSM tribes have been provided with benefits under this scheme? If some have been left out, why so?
- 2) What has been the number of NSM tribal groups and District wise coverage under this scheme in the period 2009-10 to 2013-14?
- 3) Of the NSM tribal groups benefited under this scheme, how many of them are staying in the same place/taluk/district where they were benefited as on the date of evaluation? What are the causes for those groups which moved? Can there be measures suggested to prevent this?
- 4) What is the average time taken, from the date of selection by Committee to actually getting the loan? Can this time be cut down or the process modified in the interest of more effective implantation of the scheme? How?
- 5) Whether the committees meant for selection of beneficiaries are making proper selection? In how many cases (percent wise) the selection was found to be faulty?
- 6) Have all the eligible beneficiaries selected by the Selection Committee been covered under the scheme? If not, give reasons.
- 7) What are the EDP activities in which beneficiaries of NSM tribes are trained? Are they relevant and adequate to the conditions and skills of the beneficiaries? If not, which are the ones that need to be improved/dropped/modified? Should some new EDP activities also be included? If so, which are they?
- 8) What is the impact of EDP training on the beneficiaries? Is it helpful in making the beneficiary aware of self employment? If not, reasons thereof.
- 9) Whether the loan sanctioned is utilized for the purpose for which it was sanctioned? If not, has the recovery made in one stroke? How many such cases of recovery have been made from 2009-10 to 2013-14?
- 10) Whether the beneficiaries are made aware of the repayment schedule of the loan received under this scheme? How is that made? Is it effective communication?
- 11) What is the amount of loan (year wise) taken by the SHGs/Individuals selected for evaluation? Whether the loan has been repaid timely and completely? If not, what is the payment percentage and what are the reasons for cases of non-payment?

- 12) Government has announced loan waiver scheme recently which applies to loans taken under this scheme too. Whether the beneficiaries who have availed the loan before 13.05.2013 are aware this?
- 13) What is the amount of loan and interest which was waived by government after the loan waiver scheme was announced? What has been the impact of the loan waiver for beneficiaries and the repayment schedule compliance? Is there reliable indication to suggest that this may result in unwarranted or unintended consequences like willful default?
- 14) Whether the beneficiaries who have availed financial assistance have really taken up economic activity? If not, give reasons thereof.
- 15) Is the economic activity running thought the year? If not, give reasons thereof.
- 16) Is the financial assistance given sufficient to improve the economic condition? If not, give details of the specific requirement of these tribes.
- 17) Has the economic conditions of these nomadic and semi nomadic tribes improved as a result of the scheme? If yes, to what extent?
- 18) Before sanction of loan to the beneficiaries no skill training is imparted, can the scheme be modified in such a way that providing skill training to a beneficiary by the appropriate department of government becomes mandatory for sanction of the loan?
- 19) What is the indirect benefit of scheme like whether enrollment in schools and attendance has improved in case of children of the families of the scheme beneficiaries?
- 20) Whether convergence of housing benefits to Nomadic Tribes benefitted by this scheme has taken place?
- 21) Please document about 3-4 case studies of success lesson learning failures under this scheme in the period 2009-10 to 2013-14.
- 22) What are the suggestions for improving the impact of this scheme?

8. **Evaluation Methodology:**

The State of Karnataka is divided into four Revenue Divisions (cluster for sampling). In each revenue division (cluster), in each year, districts are arranged in descending order of number of beneficiaries covered under the scheme in that year. But for Gulbarga, in other divisions, the bottom two districts are excluded. From the revenue districts one district is selected at random and without replacement for the next years. Thus, 16 out of 30 districts are selected for study which are as follows-

Sl. No.	Revenue Division	District selected for the year			
		2009-10	2010-11	2011-13	2013-14
1	Bangalore	Tumkur (115)	Bangalore Urban (26)	Chitradurga (468)	Davanagere (84)
2	Mysore	C.R.Nagar (69)	Hassan (11)	Mysore (431)	Mandya (66)
3	Belaguam	Bagalkote (124)	Bijapur (56)	Dharwad (227)	Belaguam (88)
4	Gulbarga	Bellary (105)	Koppal (43)	Gulbarga	Bidar (129)

				(721)	
--	--	--	--	-------	--

During 2012-13, the population of beneficiaries has increased suddenly, and being a study of rather primitive tribes which have more homogeneity than evolved developed populations, the intensity is reduced to 2.5% whereas for other years it is kept as 5%. The sample of beneficiaries is to be drawn in a random method or systematic random method.

9. Qualification of Consultant

Consultants should have and provide details of evaluation team members having technical Qualifications/capability as below-

- i. Social Scientist/Sociologist preferably with exposure to tribal culture and systems.
- ii. Commerce/Finance/Economics graduate (at least) with experience of development studies.

And in such numbers that the evaluation is completed within the scheduled time prescribed by the ToR.

Consultants not having these number and kind of personnel will not be considered as competent for evaluation.

10. Deliverables time Schedule

The Managing Director, D.Devaraj Urs Backward Classes Development Corporation Ltd will provide year wise district/taluk/Gram Panchayath wise list of beneficiaries, activity and loan amount sanctioned etc. The Managing Director, D.Devaraj Urs Backward Classes Development Corporation Ltd will issue necessary instruction to the District Managers of Backward Classes Development Corporation Ltd to co-operate and facilitate for collection of the necessary data during the course of study. It is expected to complete the study in 6 months time excluding the time taken for approval. The evaluating agency is expected to adhere to the following timelines and deliverables.

1. Work plan submission : One month after signing the agreement.
2. Field Data Collection : Three months from date of work plan approval.
3. Draft report Submission : One month after field data collection.
4. Final Report Submission : One month from draft report submission.

5. Total duration : 6 months.

11. Qualities Expected from the Evaluation Report

The following are the points, only inclusive and not exhaustive, which need to be mandatorily followed in the preparation of evaluation report:-

1. By the very look of the evaluation report it should be evident that the study is that of - D.Devaraj Urs Backward Classes Development Corporation Ltd of the Government of Karnataka, and Karnataka Evaluation Authority (KEA) which has been done by the Consultant. It should not intend to convey that the study was the initiative and work of the Consultant, merely financed by the D.Devaraj Urs Backward Classes Development Corporation Ltd of the Government of Karnataka
2. The Terms of Reference (ToR) of the study should from the first Appendix or Addenda of the report.
3. The results should first correspond to the ToR. In the results chapter, each question of the ToR should be answered, and if possible, put up in a match the pair's kind of table, or equivalent. It is only after all questions framed in the ToR that is answered, that results over and above these be detailed.
4. In the matter of recommendations, the number of recommendations is no measure of the quality of evaluation. Evaluation has to be done with a purpose to be practicable to implement the recommendations. The practicable recommendations should not be lost in the population maze of general recommendations. It is desirable to make recommendations in the report as follows:-

(A) Short Term practicable recommendations

These may not be more than five in number. These should be such that it can be acted upon without major policy changes.

(B) Recommendations requiring change in/of policy

These are those which will need lot of time, resources and procedure to implement or those which intend to drastically modify the scheme.

12. Cost and Schedule of Budget release

Output based budget release will be as follows-

- a. The **first instalment** of Consultation fee amounting to 30% of the total fee shall be payable **as advance** to the Consultant after the approval of the inception report, but only on execution of a bank guarantee of a scheduled nationalized bank valid for a period of at least 12 months from the date of issuance of advance.
- b. The **second instalment** of Consultation fee amounting to 50% of the total fee shall be payable to the Consultant after the approval of the Draft report.

- c. The **third and final instalment** of Consultation fee amounting to 20% of the total fee shall be payable to the Consultant after the receipt of the hard and soft copies of the final report in such format and number as prescribed in the agreement, along with all original documents containing primary and secondary data, processed data outputs, study report and soft copies of all literature used to the final report.

Taxes will be deducted from each payment as per rates in force. In addition, the evaluator is expected to pay services tax at their end.

13. Contact persons to get further details about the study

Dr. U.P.Chandrashekar, MD, D.Devaraj Urs Backward Classes Development Corporation Ltd and Sri.Kotappa, GM (Dev), D.Devaraj Urs Backward Classes Development Corporation Ltd, Ph. No. 080-22374832/834, Email ID-md@dbcddc.in.

The entire process of evaluation shall be subject to and conform to the letter and spirit of the contents of the government of Karnataka order no. PD/8/EVN(2)/2011 dated 11th July 2011 and orders made there under.

This ToR is sanctioned in the 13th meeting of the Technical Committee held on 30th August 2014.

Chief Evaluation Officer
Karnataka Evaluation Authority

ANNEXURE-1

Government Order No. PHS 262 SEW 65, dated 1st February 1966.

List of Nomadic and Semi-Nomadic Tribes in the State:

- | | |
|------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 1. Bairagi (Bava) | 37. Sarodi |
| 2. Balasanthoshi-Joshi | 38. Durgamurga (Burburcha) |
| 3. Bazigar | 39. Howgar (Howadigar) |
| 4. Bharadi | 40. Pichaguntala |
| 5. Budbudki-Joshi-Gondhali | 41. Masania Yogi |
| 6. Chara | 42.(Bestar) Bunds Besta |
| 7. Chitrkathi-Joshi | 43. Katabu |
| 8.Dholi | 44. Darwesh |
| 9. Daveri | 45. Kashikapdi |
| 10. Dombari | 46. Madari (added to SC List) |
| 11. Ghisadi | 47. Handi Jogi (added to SC List) |
| 12. Garudi | 48. Dombidasa |
| 13. Gopal | 49. Bailapatar. |
| 14. Gondali | |
| 15. Helava | |
| 16. Jogi | |
| 17. Kelkari | |
| 18. Kolhati | |
| 19. Nandiwala-Joshi-Ghondali, Full-Malli | |

20. Nathpanthi, Dauri Gosavi
21. Nirshikari
22. Panguaul
23. Joshi (Sada Joshi)
24. Sansia
25. Sarania
26. Sudugadsidda (added to SC List)
27. Tirumali
28. Vaidu
29. Vasudev
30. Vadi
31. Vagri
32. Vir
33. Bajania
34. Shikkligar
35. Golla
36. Kille Kyathas

ಡಿ.ದೇವರಾಜ ಅರಸು ಹಿಂದುಳಿದ ವರ್ಗಗಳ ಅಭಿವೃದ್ಧಿ ನಿಗಮ ನಿಯಮಿತ, ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು-52.

2009-10ನೇ ಸಾಲಿನಲ್ಲಿ ನಿಗಮದ ಅತಿ ಹಿಂದುಳಿದ ಅರೆ ಅಲೆಮಾರಿ ಸಾಲ ಯೋಜನೆ ಗುರಿ ಮತ್ತು

ಸಾಧನೆ

Annexure-II

(ಲಕ್ಷ ರೂ.ಗಳಲ್ಲಿ)

ಕ್ರ. ಸಂ.	ಜಿಲ್ಲೆಗಳ ಹೆಸರು	ನಿಗದಿ ಪಡಿಸಿದ ಗುರಿ		ಸಾಧಿಸಿದ ಪ್ರಗತಿ	
		ಭೌತಿಕ	ಆರ್ಥಿಕ	ಭೌತಿಕ	ಆರ್ಥಿಕ
1	2	3	4	5	6
1	ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು (ನ)	48	7.20	48	7.20
2	ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು (ಗ್ರಾ)	20	3.00	20	3.00
3	ರಾಮನಗರ	20	3.00	15	2.25
4	ಶಿವಮೊಗ್ಗ	62	9.30	76	11.40
5	ಚಿತ್ರದುರ್ಗ	79	11.85	81	12.15
6	ತುಮಕೂರು	80	12.00	115	17.25
7	ಕೋಲಾರ	25	3.75	26	3.90
8	ಚಿಕ್ಕಬಳ್ಳಾಪುರ	25	3.75	23	3.45
9	ದಾವಣಗೆರೆ	65	9.75	65	9.75
	ಒಟ್ಟು	424	63.60	469	70.35
10	ಮೈಸೂರು	70	10.50	151	22.65
11	ಮಂಡ್ಯ	31	4.65	32	4.80
12	ಚಾಮರಾಜ ನಗರ	62	9.30	69	10.35
13	ಉಡುಪಿ	40	6.00	34	5.10
14	ಹಾಸನ	25	3.75	30	4.50
15	ಚಿಕ್ಕಮಗಳೂರು	27	4.05	27	4.05
16	ದಕ್ಷಿಣ ಕನ್ನಡ	43	6.45	11	1.65
17	ಕೊಡಗು	4	0.60	-	-

	ಒಟ್ಟು	302	45.30	354	53.10
18	ಬೆಳಗಾವಿ	100	15.00	90	13.50
19	ಹಾವೇರಿ	48	7.20	49	7.35
20	ಗದಗ್	48	7.20	79	11.85
21	ಬಿಜಾಪುರ	100	15.00	95	14.25
22	ಬಾಗಲಕೋಟೆ	108	16.2	124	18.60
23	ಧಾರವಾಡ	48	7.20	49	7.35
24	ಉತ್ತರ ಕನ್ನಡ	78	11.70	52	7.80
	ಒಟ್ಟು	530	79.50	538	80.70
25	ಗುಲ್ಬರ್ಗಾ	168	25.20	257	38.55
26	ಬಳ್ಳಾರಿ	102	15.30	105	15.75
27	ಬೀದರ್	40	6.00	40	6.00
28	ರಾಯಚೂರು	104	15.60	55	8.25
29	ಕೊಪ್ಪಳ	100	15.00	91	13.65
		514	77.10	548	82.20
	ಒಟ್ಟು ಮೊತ್ತ	1770	265.50	1909	286.35

ಡಿ. ದೇವರಾಜ ಅರಸು ಹಿಂದುಳಿದ ವರ್ಗಗಳ ಅಭಿವೃದ್ಧಿ ನಿಗಮ, ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು-52.

2010-11ನೇ ಸಾಲಿಗೆ ನಿಗದಿಪಡಿಸಿದ ಗುರಿ ಮತ್ತು ಸಾಧಿಸಿದ ಪ್ರಗತಿ Annexure-II (ಲಕ್ಷರೂ.ಗಳಲ್ಲಿ)

ಕ್ರ. ಸಂ.	ಜಿಲ್ಲೆಗಳ ಹೆಸರು	10-11ನೇ ಸಾಲಿನ ಗುರಿ		ಸಾಧಿಸಿದ ಪ್ರಗತಿ			
		ಭೌತಿಕ	ಆರ್ಥಿಕ	ಭೌತಿಕ	ಆರ್ಥಿಕ		Total
					ಸ. ಧನ	ಸಾ.ಮೊತ್ತ	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1	ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ನ.	28	8.40	26	1.30	2.60	3.90
2	ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ಗ್ರಾ	10	3.00	10	0.50	1.00	1.50
3	ರಾಮನಗರ	12	3.60	9	0.45	0.90	1.35
4	ಶಿವಮೊಗ್ಗ	14	4.20	23	1.15	2.30	3.45
5	ತುಮಕೂರು	27	8.10	40	2.00	4.00	6.00
6	ಚಿತ್ರದುರ್ಗ	16	4.80	36	1.80	3.60	5.40
7	ಕೋಲಾರ	16	4.80	11	0.55	1.10	1.65
8	ಚಿಕ್ಕಬಳ್ಳಾಪುರ	14	4.20	7	0.35	0.70	1.05
9	ದಾವಣಗೆರೆ	16	4.80	24	1.20	2.40	3.60
	ಒಟ್ಟು	153	45.90	186	9.30	18.60	27.90
10	ಮೈಸೂರು	30	4.50	28	1.40	2.80	4.20
11	ಮಂಡ್ಯ	13	1.95	11	0.55	1.10	1.65
12	ಚಾಮರಾಜನಗರ	27	4.05	27	1.35	2.70	4.05
13	ಉಡುಪಿ	12	1.80	12	0.60	1.20	1.80
14	ಹಾಸನ	17	2.55	11	0.30	0.60	0.90
15	ಚಿಕ್ಕಮಗಳೂರು	11	1.65	-	-	-	0.00
16	ದಕ್ಷಿಣ ಕನ್ನಡ	19	2.85	2	0.10	0.20	0.30

17	ಕೊಡಗು	2	0.30	2	0.10	0.20	0.30
	ಒಟ್ಟು	131	19.65	93	4.4	8.80	13.20
18	ಬೆಳಗಾಂ	43	6.45	50	2.50	5.00	7.50
19	ಹಾವೇರಿ	21	3.15	30	1.50	3.00	4.50
20	ಗದಗ	21	3.15	21	1.05	2.10	3.15
21	ಬಿಜಾಪುರ	43	6.45	56	2.80	5.60	8.40
22	ಬಾಗಲಕೋಟೆ	47	7.05	42	2.10	4.20	6.30
23	ಧಾರವಾಡ	21	3.15	21	1.05	2.10	3.15
24	ಉತ್ತರ ಕನ್ನಡ	34	5.10	30	1.50	3.00	4.50
	ಒಟ್ಟು	230	34.50	250	12.50	25.00	37.50
25	ಗುಲ್ಬರ್ಗಾ	35	5.25	42	2.10	4.20	6.30
26	ಯಾದಗಿರಿ	35	5.35	35	1.75	3.50	5.25
27	ಬಳ್ಳಾರಿ	44	6.65	42	2.10	4.20	6.30
28	ಬೀದರ್	17	2.55	18	0.90	1.80	2.70
29	ರಾಯಚೂರು	45	6.80	41	2.05	4.1	6.15
30	ಕೊಪ್ಪಳ	43	6.50	43	2.15	4.30	6.45
	ಒಟ್ಟು	219	33.10	221	11.05	22.10	33.15
	ಒಟ್ಟು ಮೊತ್ತ	733	133.15	750	37.25	74.50	111.75

ಡಿ.ದೇವರಾಜ ಅರಸು ಹಿಂದುಳಿದ ವರ್ಗಗಳ ಅಭಿವೃದ್ಧಿ ನಿಗಮ, ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು.

2012-13ನೇ ಸಾಲಿನ ಯೋಜನಾವಾರು ಸಾಧಿಸಿದ ಪ್ರಗತಿ ವಿವರ Annexure-II (ಲಕ್ಷರೂ.ಗಳಲ್ಲಿ)

ಕ್ರ. ಸಂ.	ಜಿಲ್ಲೆಗಳ ಹೆಸರು	ನಿಗದಿಪಡಿಸಿದ ಗುರಿ			ಸಾಧಿಸಿದ ಪ್ರಗತಿ			
		ಭೌತಿಕ	ಆರ್ಥಿಕ		ಭೌತಿಕ	ಆರ್ಥಿಕ		
			ಸಾಲ	ಒಟ್ಟು		ಸ.ಧನ	ಸಾಲ	ಒಟ್ಟು
1	2	3	5	6	7	8	9	10
1	ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ನ.	214	53.50	53.50	153	11.72	27.33	39.05
2	ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ಗ್ರಾ	112	28.00	28.00	111	8.32	19.43	27.75
3	ರಾಮನಗರ	51	12.75	12.75	47	3.09	7.21	10.30
4	ಶಿವಮೊಗ್ಗ	103	25.75	25.75	129	9.36	21.84	31.20
5	ತುಮಕೂರು	880	220.00	220.00	611	45.51	106.19	151.70
6	ಚಿತ್ರದುರ್ಗ	452	113.00	113.00	468	28.08	65.52	93.60
7	ಕೋಲಾರ	256	64.00	64.00	219	16.42	38.33	54.75
8	ಚಿಕ್ಕಬಳ್ಳಾಪುರ	231	57.75	57.75	231	17.34	40.46	57.80
9	ದಾವಣಗೆರೆ	252	63.00	63.00	186	14.03	32.72	46.75
	ಒಟ್ಟು	2551	637.75	637.75	2155	153.87	359.03	512.90
10	ಮೈಸೂರು	402	100.50	100.50	431	31.73	76.03	107.76
11	ಮಂಡ್ಯ	154	38.50	38.50	146	7.30	36.50	43.80
12	ಚಾಮರಾಜನಗರ	89	22.25	22.25	133	11.00	35.36	46.36
13	ಉಡುಪಿ	117	29.25	29.25	81	7.64	17.43	25.07
14	ಹಾಸನ	109	27.25	27.25	159	11.93	27.82	39.75
15	ಚಿಕ್ಕಮಗಳೂರು	67	16.75	16.75	62	3.52	12.28	15.80
16	ದಕ್ಷಿಣ ಕನ್ನಡ	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
17	ಕೊಡಗು	10	2.50	2.50	10	0.73	1.71	2.44
	ಒಟ್ಟು	948	237	237.00	1022	73.85	207.13	280.98

18	ಬೆಳಗಾಂ	240	60.00	60.00	184	12.70	29.66	42.36
19	ಹಾವೇರಿ	49	12.25	12.25	50	4.83	11.98	16.81
20	ಗದಗ	89	22.25	22.25	55	3.66	8.54	12.20
21	ಬಿಜಾಪುರ	152	38.00	38.00	133	9.98	23.28	33.26
22	ಬಾಗಲಕೋಟೆ	151	37.75	37.75	90	7.98	19.12	27.10
23	ಧಾರವಾಡ	231	57.75	57.75	227	19.55	47.56	67.11
24	ಉತ್ತರ ಕನ್ನಡ	69	17.25	17.25	57	4.28	9.95	14.23
	ಒಟ್ಟು	981	245.25	245.25	796	62.98	150.09	213.07
25	ಗುಲ್ಬರ್ಗಾ	595	148.75	148.75	721	54.08	126.17	180.25
26	ಯಾದಗಿರಿ	260	65.00	65.00	188	18.80	47.00	65.80
27	ಬಳ್ಳಾರಿ	388	97.00	97.00	204	15.18	35.82	51.00
28	ಬೀದರ್	261	65.25	65.25	229	17.18	40.07	57.25
29	ರಾಯಚೂರು	104	26.00	26.00	61	4.57	10.68	15.25
30	ಕೊಪ್ಪಳ	156	39.00	39.00	111	11.10	27.75	38.85
	ಒಟ್ಟು	1764	441.00	441.00	1514	120.91	287.49	408.40
	ಒಟ್ಟು	6244	1561.00	1561.00	5487	411.61	1003.74	1415.35

ಡಿ.ದೇವರಾಜ ಅರಸು ಹಿಂದುಳಿದ ವರ್ಗಗಳ ಅಭಿವೃದ್ಧಿ ನಿಗಮ, ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು.

2013-14ನೇ ಸಾಲಿನ ಯೋಜನಾವಾರು ಸಾಧಿಸಿದ ಪ್ರಗತಿ ವಿವರ Annexure-II

ಕ್ರ. ಸಂ.	ಜಿಲ್ಲೆಗಳ ಹೆಸರು	ನಿಗದಿಪಡಿಸಿದ ಗುರಿ		ಸಾಧಿಸಿದ ಪ್ರಗತಿ	
		ಭೌತಿಕ	ಆರ್ಥಿಕ	ಭೌತಿಕ	ಆರ್ಥಿಕ
1	2	3	4	5	6
1	ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ನ.	45	15.75	51	16.75
2	ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ಗ್ರಾ	30	10.50	36	11.00
3	ರಾಮನಗರ	30	10.50	32	10.30
4	ಶಿವಮೊಗ್ಗ	50	17.50	48	17.00
5	ತುಮಕೂರು	150	52.50	341	100.80
6	ಚಿತ್ರದುರ್ಗ	150	52.50	234	67.45
7	ಕೋಲಾರ	50	17.50	78	23.10
8	ಚಿಕ್ಕಬಳ್ಳಾಪುರ	63	22.05	63	22.05
9	ದಾವಣಗೆರೆ	69	24.15	84	27.90
	ಒಟ್ಟು	637	222.95	967	296.35
10	ಮೈಸೂರು	111	38.85	165	41.54
11	ಮಂಡ್ಯ	42	14.70	66	19.80
12	ಚಾಮರಾಜನಗರ	30	10.50	45	15.75
13	ಉಡುಪಿ	32	11.20	21	7.35
14	ಹಾಸನ	30	10.50	24	6.00
15	ಚಿಕ್ಕಮಗಳೂರು	18	6.30	21	4.10
16	ದಕ್ಷಿಣ ಕನ್ನಡ	21	7.35	5	1.75
17	ಕೊಡಗು	15	5.25	14	4.90

	ಒಟ್ಟು	299	104.65	361	101.19
18	ಬೆಳಗಾಂ	66	23.10	88	23.55
19	ಹಾವೇರಿ	23	8.05	28	8.15
20	ಗದಗ	24	8.40	25	7.10
21	ಬಿಜಾಪುರ	42	14.70	42	14.70
22	ಬಾಗಲಕೋಟೆ	42	14.70	42	14.70
23	ಧಾರವಾಡ	63	22.05	64	22.20
24	ಉತ್ತರ ಕನ್ನಡ	23	8.05	35	8.75
	ಒಟ್ಟು	283	99.05	324	99.15
25	ಗುಲ್ಬರ್ಗಾ	164	57.40	367	110.95
26	ಯಾದಗಿರಿ	71	24.85	99	24.80
27	ಬಳ್ಳಾರಿ	107	37.45	111	27.68
28	ಬೀದರ್	68	23.80	129	32.25
29	ರಾಯಚೂರು	41	14.35	37	11.45
30	ಕೊಪ್ಪಳ	44	15.40	54	17.30
	ಒಟ್ಟು	495	173.25	797	224.43
	ಒಟ್ಟು	1714	600.00	2449	721.12